

SEHAB PAR working committee has had two conference calls and a face to face since our last SEHAB meeting. We have expanded our working group to include the hatchery managers and presidents of society of affected SEP groups, these are those who were told they would need to hold their own PAR licence. Such an interesting group. Most interesting point (I think) was when we discuss mitigation of risk – being out of compliance with the fisheries act has the stick of large fines and jail terms, While we might be able to pay insurance companys enough money to cover the monetary risk you can not insurance against jail time (this came from a groups insurance company

Seems Province doesn't have a set standard for contracting with groups but there is a fish health and production process and all groups have gone through this process to continue their works for this year

Biggest task though was when we finally received the documents from our FOI request arrangements have been made to get our payment back due to lack of adherence to timelines) This was a hard 600 pages to review as it came on a disc but was a scan of a pdf – no search tools to help

SEHAB PAR meeting to review FOI documents July 9th 2014

Meeting began at 9:00

In attendance: ZoAnn Morten, Dianne Sanford, Elizabeth Hardy, Brian Smith and Dianne Ramage

Regrets: Jim Armstrong

SEHAB PAR members came prepared, all were fluent with the sections of the document that they had committed to review and were able to see the connections between sections.

Notes from the wall:

Morton Decision

Status Quo – notation of renewing existing licences with existing fish farms

Then came PAR (why?)

Who worked on this, who was leading the show? People and departments. When? Timeline unclear

SEP caught off guard as to SEP being included in the PAR changes. * haven't clear idea of who told SEP staff that they were being included. When was DFO told SEP included?

Liability / Risk

Mention of additional liability, additional costs associated with licencing.

Pg 296 – concern for liability for DFO

Pg 17 – wild fish / hatchery interaction risk becoming more widely known

List of *risk* for commercial, finfish and shell fish noted but not SEP enhancement

Pg 898 – responsibility / liability

Pg 649 * legal risk

Emails blacked out between Sue Pollard and Sue Farlinger / Koaring (prov / feds)

Budget AFS/CIP

Fish Production

Pg 768 opportunity for SEP to move groups from critical fish production to stewardship ** DFO
mandate is shrinking shrinking – direct relationship of SEP – community to department is the fish**

Mention of finalizing criteria to determine if CA or community to hold (we would like to see this criteria)

Pg 646 – production values to production volume * what is difference*

Pg – what needs to be licenced / what doesn't – and how was this determined?

Timeline needs – documents, reports – PAR – SEHAB (asks for changes, information) – name changes of departments

** Schools – teachers are the day to day operations overseers so would need to hold the licence if that is the criteria – including report creation and accountability**

Need to know (reports to find)

Enforcement – of PAR

Pg 780 inspections / audits to be conducted by a 3rd party at licence holders expense we got FOI based on SEP

- Who is 3rd party?

Pg 77 ?qualifications of FO / inspectors? Who hires?

Costs – licence costs / vert costs?

Pg 568 March Klaver –who is this? Graham emailed as to – one licence for SEP held by RHQ – what happened to that idea? Who said no? was it one of the options that is later blacked out?

***We want to see the three options pg 649)

Pg 15 RIAS – risk (impact) to DFO to hold licence

Modify^^

What science documents were used pg 37 talks of science advice

Pg 768 PAR ...transformative change for the delivery of programs of the SEP

SEP alignment longterm budget strategy – supporting budget

Pg 753 (897) memorandum on 2013 on PAR licencing strategy for community enhancement projects (NECTS# 2012-505-00094)

Pg 680 – lessons learned from pilot of CSP holders

Pg 891 – continue to review (who when, what have the found?)

Pg 635 – right balance of options (?)

We have a set of questions to search for more answers and are trying to get a grip on timelines. We had a talk of meeting with Prov and Federal govt with affected groups but we believe this should be set up by government with the working group invited. We did a call out for a meeting but we did not get a positive response.

We do need to set meeting dates in advance to ensure we don't get caught up in other "stuff" but budget may not allow for our conference calls

STOP

For our use only right now

Here are notes from the wall so as not to lose them

Next steps:

ZoAnn will the up notes

All to send their notes if additional info gathered

Dianne to send the list of questions SEHAB PAR sent to DFO ages ago - ZM to add the questions we had today - in different colour and date the questions. Put this into the template Graham did as to who would be accountable to answering and by when , mark when done

All to review before this goes back to Department

All look at more info needed section of notes from today - help to prioritize and self select on who wants to

look into what report

Dianne to work on timeline piece.

Great meeting and loved how everyone was so well versed in the documents!
Have a great night take care ZoAnn